

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|--------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/621,765 | | 07/17/2003 | Martin Kowalski | F-7890 | 1720 |
| 28107 | 7590 | 12/21/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| JORDAN A | AND HA | AMBURG LLP | JULES, FRANTZ F | | |
| 122 EAST 4 | 2ND ST | REET | | 4.071.017 | DADED MUMBED |
| SUITE 4000 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| NEW YOR | K, NY | 10168 | | 3617 | |

DATE MAILED: 12/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Astion Common as | 10/621,765 | KOWALSKI, MARTIN | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Frantz F. Jules | 3617 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 November 2005</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1 and 4-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-7 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.8 and 9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/14/2003. | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other: | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the recitation of "said support area includes outer edges, each of said outer edges comprising spaced depressions" in claim 1 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). The drawing shows raised lugs 16 in the support area 3'. No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/621,765

Art Unit: 3617

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the recitation of "said twisting prevention device of said guide plates comprising spaced lugs" in claim 1 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). The drawing shows depression or recess 19. No new matter should be entered.

Page 3

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abevance.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 9 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Application/Control Number: 10/621,765

Art Unit: 3617

In claim 9, line 10, the phrase "on said supporting region and said guiding plates" should be replaced by –on one of said supporting region and said guiding plates— to improve the clarity of the claim language.

Page 4

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1 and 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line 9-10, the phrase "said support area includes outer edges, each of said outer edges comprising spaced depressions" is confusing as it is not consistent with the specification and the drawings which discloses shows raised lugs 16 in the support area

3'. How can the device work if the support area comprises spaced depressions while it has been recited in line 8 that "deep depressions" exists "beneath said guide plates" in line 8.

In claim 1, line 11-12, the phrase "said twisting prevention device of said guide plates comprising spaced lugs" is confusing as it is not consistent with the specification and the drawings which discloses depression or recess 19. How can the device works if the twisting prevention device of the guide plates comprises spaced lugs while it has been recited that "deep depressions" exists "beneath said guide plates" in line 8.

Art Unit: 3617

Claim 9 recites the limitation "the other of said twisting-prevention devices on said supporting region and said guiding plates" in line 9-10. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1 and 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over The Prior Art Drawing or Mohr reference (US 6,488,215) in view of McCormick et al (US 4,078,724).

The Prior Art Drawing or Mohr reference discloses a concrete railroad tie comprising an elastic rail support, lateral raised shoulders (1) and a support region disposed between a base of rail and said raised shoulders, guide plates (6) including a twisting prevention devices (4) which is received in a depression of the tie.

The Prior Art Drawing or Mohr reference (US 6,488,215) discloses all of the features of claims 1 and 8-9 except for guiding plates comprising multiple twisting prevention devices. The general concept of providing multiple twisting prevention device to the guiding plates constitutes an obvious duplication of parts which carry no patentable weight and is well known in the art as illustrated by McCormick which disclose the

Application/Control Number: 10/621,765 Page 6

Art Unit: 3617

teaching of multiple twisting prevention devices (32) in guiding plates (24). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify The Prior Art Drawing or Mohr reference to include the use of multiple twisting prevention devices in his advantageous guiding plates as taught by McCormick et al in order to facilitate pre-alignment of the railroad ties while increasing its stability.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 11/14/2005 have been fully considered but they are most in view of the new ground of rejection.

Applicant's amendment to include the limitations of spaced depressions and spaced lugs as well as various confusing terms constitutes the basis for the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frantz F. Jules whose telephone number is (571) 272-6681. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph S. Morano can be reached on (571) 272-6684. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Frantz F. Jules Primary Examiner Art Unit 3617

FFJ

December 13, 2005

FRANTZ F. JULES
PRIMARY EXAMINER